UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,432	12/28/2000	Lynh Nguyen	STL919990134US2/A8645	7994
46159 SUGHRUE MI	7590 02/03/200 ON PLLC	EXAMINER		
	OMER NO WITH IBM	CHANKONG, DOHM		
WASHINGTON	LVANIA AVENUE, N N, DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2452	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/750,432	NGUYEN, LYNH	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DOHM CHANKONG	2452	

	DOHM CHANKONG	2452	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of g replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi peal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing dat	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig tr than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water Notice.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or search (see NO		<b>5445</b> 5
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	,	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. $\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an ex	oplanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,5-9,11-13 and 37-46</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeary and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10.	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the application ir	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).  13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Dohm Chankong/ Examiner, Art Unit 2452		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment introduces a new limitation directed to subsequent communications from the interface module to the port module. Since this is a new limitation, it raises new issues which requires further consideration and search..